

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,851	03/21/2002	Philippe Msika	REGIM-012	2236
7590 05/03/2007 Lerner David Littenberg		EXAMINER		
Krumholz & N	<b>Mentlik</b>		FLOOD, MICHELE C	
600 South Ave Westfield, NJ			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,		*	1655	
?		•		
•	·		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office A.4' O	10/088,851	MSIKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
	Michele Flood	1655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>61-63</u>	R 70 71 72 76 77 82 84 85 88 89					
	action is non-final.					
· <u>-</u>	, <del>_</del>					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		0 0.0.2.0.				
<u></u>	Q is/are pending in the application	1				
4) Claim(s) 61-63,70-72,76,77,82,84,85,88 and 89 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•	•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 61-63,70-72,76,77,82,84,85,88 and 8	O are subject to restriction and/or	alastian vasuirament				
0)(S) Claim(s) 01-03,70-72,70,77,02,04,03,00 and 6	g are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	,					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	акент друшевиол				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Acknowledgment is made of the receipt and entry of the amendment filed on February 29, 2007.

Claims 61-63,70-72,76,77,82,84,85,88 and 89 are under examination.

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: the independent and separate species of the Markush groups of Claims 61 and 88, namely oil distillate of sunflower oil and unsaponifiable materials from sunflower oil. The species are independent or distinct because the plant oil products contain different chemical constituents having divergently different biological and/or biochemical functions.

This application also contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: the independent and separate species of the Markush groups of Claims 61 and 88, namely sensitive skin, dry skin, pruritus, ichtyosis, acne, xerosis, atopic dermatitis, cutaneous desquamation, skin subjected to actinic radiation or skin subjected to ultraviolet (UV) radiation. The species are independent or distinct because the claim-designated disease conditions and/or syndromes are characterized by divergently different clinical manifestations and/or divergently different physiological and biological pathologies and/or divergently different clinical therapeutic regimens.

This application also contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: the independent and separate species of the different routes of administration

of the Markush group of Claim 77, namely topically, orally, enterally or parenterally. The species are independent or distinct because the different routes of administration of therapeutic agents alter their mode of absorption and action within the body.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 62, 63, 82, 84, and 89 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Application/Control Number: 10/088,851

Art Unit: 1655

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michele Flood whose telephone number is 571-272-0964. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on 571-272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1655

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MICHELE PLOOD RIMARY EXAMINER Michele Flood Primary Examiner Art Unit 1655

MCF April 30, 2007